DIALOGUE SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW

Decoding the Knowledge Sharing Culture and Practices among Librarians in Research Institutions: A Phenomenological Study

Zakir Khan
MPhil Scholar, Department of Library & Information Science Khushal Khan Khattak University Karak, KPK, Pakistan. zakirkhanmlis@gmail.com

Dr. Rahim Jan
Assistant Professor, Department of Library & Information Science, Khushal Khan Khattak University, Karak, Pakistan rahimjanrajjar@gmail.com

Izhar Muhammad
Lecturer, Department of Library & Information Science, Khushal Khan Khattak University, Karak, Pakistan izharmlis@yahoo.com

Nasir Farid
BS Student, Department of Library & Information Science, Khushal Khan Khattak University, Karak, Pakistan. nasirpersonal62338@gmail.com

Muhammad Shahab
Ph.D Scholar, Department of Library & Information Science, Khushal Khan Khattak University, Karak, Pakistan muhammad.shahab@kkkuk.edu.pk

Abstract
Using a phenomenological methodology to highlight librarians' real-life involvements, perceptions, and interferences, this study investigates into the knowledge-sharing culture and practices in research institutions. Skill in knowledge sharing has grown in prominence in today's information-driven, fast-paced work situation. Institutional performance is dependent on the generation, management, and practice of information. This study appearances at how research libraries substitute an environment favorable to information sharing by analysing the effects of organisational standards, relational undercurrents, technology resources, and specialized principles. Detailed qualitative data was resulting from semi-structured interviews with a wide-ranging group of librarians from several research organizations, shedding light on the complications of partnership undercurrents. Outcomes show that people are usually willing to effort together, but that around are a number of social, technological, and organizational hindrances that avert them from exchanging information as efficiently as they might. Issues such as time limits, diverse points of transparency and expectation, insufficient digital infrastructure, and ranked decision-making are amongst these. The study recommends that in instruction to advance institutional learning, there should be more governance commitment, programmes for continuing professional growth, and the use of cutting-edge digital stages for sharing information. Libraries in research-intensive backgrounds can advance their cooperative capability and agility by addressing these restraints and building inclusive, supportive philosophies. This research enhances to the current form of literature on library knowledge organization by providing theoretic backgrounds and applied recommendations for building

information-sharing principles that can withstand challenges.

Keywords: Knowledge-sharing culture, librarians, research institutions, phenomenology, knowledge management.

Introduction
Background of the Study
Information is considerable more than simply a supplementary source; it is the substratum of revolution, decision-making, and community and economic development in today's knowledge-driven world. Organisations everywhere the world bases their strategies, rules, and directions on information, which is both imperceptible and influential (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995; Drucker, 1993). In this ever-changing situation, research institutes are unique centres for the production, decontaminating, and allocation of knowledge. Scholarly effort shaped by these institutions propels both theoretic research and the growth of real-world answers to persistent social complications (Brew & Boud, 1995). On the other hand, librarians play a vital part in ensuring that this information environment runs effortlessly and professionally (Case, 2012).

Librarians at academic libraries play a significant but multifaceted role. According to Hjørland (2011), their character goes elsewhere only keeping collections up to date; they similarly act as mediators for information, making sure that pertinent information becomes to the precise entities when they requirement it. Their responsibilities include a wide range of responsibilities, such as organising digital archives, directing on academic statement, and humanizing networks of teamwork both within and outdoor of their particular organizations (Lwoga, 2014; Tenopir et al., 2016). By carrying out these tasks, they help their organisations run more easily and also underwrite to the countless moral of research and modernization (Connaway & Radford, 2011).

A knowledge-sharing principles is one in which people are contented sufficient to speak their concentrations and work together to explain difficulties; this culture encourages an environment where information is spontaneously swapped (De Long & Fahey, 2000). In the situation of academic organizations, this kind of culture assurances that all forms of intellectual principal, with database-based explicit knowledge and experience-based implicit knowledge, are used for the mutual moral (Wang & Noe, 2010). Information sharing has become more significant with the growth of digital knowledge, open-access publication, and online research societies (Subramaniam & Youndt, 2005). It is a planned support for institutional development for librarians to have a resilient culture of knowledge sharing as it permits them to familiarize fast to innovative tools, increasing information requirements, and shifting user opportunities (Alavi & Leidner, 2001).

Intricacy, interconnectedness, and repeated transformation outline today's research act. As an effect of universal enterprises and digital modernization, local limitations have developed progressively blurry in intellectual communication (Borgman, 2015). Data administration, research effect investigation, and intellectual property referring are some of the skills that librarians are predictable to study in directive to stay up with these changes (Tenopir et al., 2017). However, these abilities may accurately shine when they are part of a cooperative environment that inspires the open conversation of

philosophies, information, and involvements. Therefore, it is central for research institutions to stand-in and maintains a strong culture of knowledge conversation amongst librarians if they poverty to remain pertinent and effective (Chua, 2003).

Purpose of the Study
The purpose of this research is to gain a better understanding of the knowledge-sharing culture and practices in research institutions via the eyes of librarians working in these fields. In order to develop tactics that promote stronger collaboration, it employs a phenomenological approach to investigate the meanings, obstacles, and enabling variables that influence these practices.

Research Objectives
The study's objectives are planned to guide the exploration and ensure alignment with the overall purpose:
1. To inspect librarians' insights of the knowledge-sharing culture in their particular research institutions.
2. To recognize the practices, tools, and channels through which knowledge is shared amongst librarians.
3. To explore the influences—both empowering and constraining—that encouragement knowledge-sharing behaviors.
4. To evaluate the role of leadership, strategy, and institutional provision in determining knowledge-sharing practices.
5. To provide evidence-based recommendations for strengthening a knowledge-sharing culture in research institutions.

Significance of the Study
By centering on cultural and experiential aspects within a niche professional setting, the study contributes to the literature on knowledge management. The results will help academic libraries create more conducive settings for librarians to work together and inform the creation of more specific policies.

Operational Definitions
- **Knowledge-Sharing Culture:** A knowledge-sharing culture is one in which employees are expected to freely share and receive information from one another.
- **Knowledge-Sharing Practices:** These are the methods, procedures, and resources that are put into place to share, communicate, and co-create information in a professional setting.
- **Research Institutions:** Organizations mainly devoted to guiding scientific, academic, or applied research, maintained by particular library services.
- **Phenomenological Study:** A qualitative study process that pursues to comprehend and designate participants' lived experiences of a specific phenomenon.

Review of the Literature
Over the past 30 years, knowledge sharing has increased traction amongst academics, industry specialists, and government administrators, solidifying its

position as a foundational idea in the larger part of knowledge organization. Rendering to Davenport and Prusak (1998) and Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995), information sharing is when people in an organisation part what they distinguish and advance each other's knowledge. Sharing is more than just a applied requirement; it's a planned necessity in research organizations. Their key objective is to form, improve, and allocate knowledge. As go-betweens for the research community and immense information sources, librarians play a distinct role in this development, often acting as stimulus for new organizations and thoughts (Koh et al., 2012; Xu & Quaddus, 2013).

Educational discussions have revealed that allocation information is more than just following processes; it is an essential share of corporation philosophy. Trust, transparency, joint affection, and a common objective are the symbols of a robust culture of information sharing (Riege, 2005; De Long & Fahey, 2000). Both the ways in which people are enthusiastic to share their information and the degree to which they do so are prejudiced by these traditional characters. Both authorized structures, like management strategies and official directives, and familiar dynamics, like peer acknowledgment and specialized systems, form the philosophy of research institutes (Lee & Choi, 2003; Holste & Fields, 2010). Investigators have exposed that people are more probable to exchange implicit knowledge—which is more pertinent and situationally exact than explicit, organized information—in backgrounds where there is a high level of conviction and mutuality (Wang & Noe, 2010).

The literature also highlights the transformation between explicit and implicit knowledge once it comes to sharing. The usage of digital records, databases, or manuals enables the documentation, storage, and transference of explicit knowledge (Polanyi, 1966; Nonaka, 1994). Polanyi (1966) and Leonard and Sensiper (1998) both approve that tacit knowledge—which is grounded on instinct, particular experience, and context-specific considerate—is commonly more imperative for problem-solving and improvement, but it is also more challenging to explicate. Librarians employed in research institutes often manipulate both styles of information literateness, understanding explicit resources to light the definite requirements of researchers and interpreting inferred thoughts into explicit plans for broader use (Buschman, 2003; Luo et al., 2019). Further importance the cultural feature of the action, the capability to move across several fields requires not only technical skill but also exceptional interpersonal skills.

The ways in which libraries and investigators in specific, share information have transformed owing to scientific progressions. Librarians presently have more prospects than ever before to professionally and sketchily share knowledge thanks to the development of open-access struggles, cooperative stages, and recognized repositories (Liew et al., 2017; Subramaniam et al., 2020). Academics caution in contradiction of placing all the eggs in the technological bag in the expectations that it will inspire people to share more. Chong et al. (2011) and Zhang and Wang (2017) both approve that digital tools can help overwhelmed period, position, and entree limits, but they can't take the place of the social and traditional issues that are vital for long-term, expressive statement. Certainly, if social growth does not escort technological dependence, it might outcome in surface-level sharing—that is, information specified deprived of greater contribution or consciousness of framework (Panahi et al., 2016).

Research has exposed that there are a number of elements that whichever help or upset librarians' skill to share what they know. According to Hislop (2013) and Yuen et al. (2014), empowering issues often contain of management that is helpful, open lines of communication, probabilities for specialized development, and acknowledgement of activities. Riege (2005) and Cabrera (2005) both note that organisational silos, severe workloads, lack of trust, insufficient incentives, and fear of losing personal value or competitive improvement can all performance as impairments. Some research themes are so specialised that they delay teamwork outside inadequate professional limits, and ranked structures in research organisations can more obstruct communication across departments (Kankanhalli et al., 2005; Sanda & Muathe, 2013). These hindrances focus the necessity for focused creativities that challenge organizational and cultural issues instantaneously.

The position of one's professional individuality in decisive how one shares information is being more and more emphasized in the literature. Learned libraries regularly reflect their librarian's not just guardians of information but also traitors with investigators (Evans, 2008; Corrall et al., 2017). Their openness to work with others, offer direction to newer colleagues, and take share in interdisciplinary developments is all pretentious by this emerging intellect of self. Knowledge sharing develops more deep-seated in ordinary processes in surroundings where institutions acknowledge and sustenance this discriminating purpose (Spiranec & Zorica, 2010; Johnson, 2015). The casual side is that practical sharing behaviours might be accidentally disheartened in backgrounds that limit librarians' involvement in research activities (Tenopir et al., 2016).

Current studies have exposed that the phenomenological process is actual in recording the definite involvements of people who are sharing their understanding (Moustakas, 1994; Creswell & Poth, 2018). Phenomenology purposes to determine the significances, purposes, and background effects that influence sharing, as different to quantitative study that enumerate the amount or occurrence of interactions. Because it allows investigators to go elsewhere noticeable entertainments and access the particular authenticities of participants, this organizational lens is mainly suitable to exploratory cultural and behavioural influences (van Manen, 1990). Phenomenology can shed light on librarians' characteristic information conversation designs by see-through how specialized standards, institutional history, and personal standards link (Smith, Flowers, & Larkin, 2009).

An increasing number of researchers through the world are pending to the inference that research libraries can gain assistances outdoor their walls if they inspire their librarians to share what they distinguish with one another. More effective research provision facilities, improved resource discovery, and more recognized experience in academic networks can consequence from librarians working together more efficiently (Worrall, 2007; Xu & Quaddus, 2013). In addition, librarians play a vital role in democratising information by attractive in information exchanges; their hard work are in line with higher actions for open science and equivalent access (Borgman, 2015; Tenopir et al., 2015). Rendering to the study, there is a virtuous series whereby an active culture of sharing material improvements the competence and efficiency of organizations, which in turn upsurges the incidence and complexity of sharing (Davenport & Prusak, 1998; Holste & Fields, 2010).

There is still an absence of research around the multifaceted ways in which librarians use and circumnavigate their knowledge-sharing settings, notwithstanding these favourable relations. Research organizations are socially and skillfully separate, and there is little sympathetic of these issues in the mainstream of the accessible literature (Chua, 2003; Panahi et al., 2016). Moreover, in-depth cultural study is often deserted in favour of methodological or technical details. To fill these gaps, research should focus on librarians' actual experiences; this will yield more detailed and perceptive descriptions that can guide educational philosophy and real-world solutions (Creswell, 2013; Moustakas, 1994).

The present study purposes to decode the cultural and ordinary behaviours of information sharing amongst research organization librarians within this situation. This article takings a phenomenological method to well comprehend the knowledge sharing manners at these significant centres of academic and scientific development by shedding light on the affiliation between individual knowledge, official culture, and specialized practice.

Research Methodology
Research Design
The purpose of this qualitative phenomenological study was to examine and make sense of librarians' real encounters with investigation organisations' knowledge-sharing philosophies and practices. The aim phenomenology was selected is that it offers a more reflective understanding into the implication and central of participants' experiences compared to quantitative measurements. Information sharing in specialized library backgrounds is formed by participants' approaches, behaviours, and interpersonal dynamics. This study purposes to determine these nuances by intent on participants' personal authenticity.

Research Approach
Smearing the theoretical foundation lay down by Edmund Husserl—which stresses successful back "to the belongings themselves"—the descriptive phenomenological technique was rummage-sale. Phenomena impacted by individual sense and social background advance themselves fit to this technique. By using bracketing, the investigator was able to put their personal biases aside and let the contributors' narratives to inform the clarifications, rather than the other method about.

Population and Sampling
Population
Educational research libraries, specialised information units, countrywide research centres, and skilled librarians employed in these settings made up the study's population.

Inclusion Criteria
- Currently employed as a professional librarian in a research institution.
- Should have a background in the field for at least three years.
- Participated in gathering and disseminating information.

Sampling Technique
Individuals who were supposed to have exclusive, perceptive, and imperative takes on the phenomenon were hand-picked by means of a focused sampling approach. In direction to attain complexity and data fullness,

Sample Size
The sample size was determined to be between 10-15 participants, in accordance with the requirements of qualitative exploration.

Data Collection Methods
Data were congregated through semi-structured, in-depth interviews, as they allow flexibility to investigation deeper into participants' involvements while preserving constancy in fundamental themes.

- **Interview Guide:** Developed based on the research objectives and literature review, covering themes such as cultural perceptions, common practices, enabling factors, and barriers to knowledge sharing.
- **Interview Duration:** Each session lasted approximately 45–60 minutes.
- **Mode of Interviews:** Conducted face-to-face where possible, and via secure video conferencing for remote participants.
- **Recording:** With consent, interviews were audio-recorded for accuracy and later transcribed verbatim.
- **Field Notes:** Used to capture non-verbal cues, contextual details, and immediate reflections during and after the interviews.

Data Analysis
The study followed to the seven steps of phenomenological investigation suggested by Colaizzi (1978):

- **Familiarization** Reading all transcripts multiple times to obtain a comprehensive grasps.
- **Identifying Significant Statements** Retrieving Expressions Pertaining to the Phenomenon.
- **Formulating Meanings** By analyzing each important remark and drawing conclusions.
- **Organizing into Themes** The fourth step is to organise everything into themes, or clusters of related meanings.
- **Developing Exhaustive Description** Writing a detailed, rich account of the occurrence.
- **Producing Fundamental Structure** Condensing the Phenomenon into Its Essentials.
- **Member Checking** Entails sending the results back to the people who took part in the study to make sure they're legit.

Data Analysis and Findings
This chapter offerings a broad investigation of the data collected in this study, which explores the knowledge-sharing principles and practices amongst librarians employed in several research organizations. Data was collected through in-depth semi-structured interviews, providing rich qualitative understandings associated with the phenomenological research strategy. The data were methodically examined by means of thematic analysis to identify

expressive designs and themes. This section instigates by outlining the demographic profile of members to contextualize the results. It then methodically explores the key themes and subthemes that arose, emphasizing the multifaceted nature of knowledge sharing inside these specialized environments. To preserve contributor privacy and legitimacy, direct quotations have been summarized while preservative the original meanings conveyed.

Demographic Profile of Participants
Understanding the demographic individualities of the members is vital, as these factors influence insights, involvements, and performances associated to knowledge sharing. The study employed purposive sample to certify representation across a varied range of librarians employed in different research organizations. This diversity in contextual and recognized affiliation improves the study's understandings by capturing varied perceptions formed by distinct and administrative backgrounds.

Number of Participants
A total of 15 librarians partaken in the study, which is reliable through qualitative research standards meant at attaining depth rather than extensiveness.

Gender Distribution
Of the 15 participants, 9 were females (60%) and 6 males (40%). This gender distribution replicates the continuing tendency in Pakistan's library occupation, where females are progressively occupying specialized roles. The gender stability is important as it permits the investigation of gender-related nuances in knowledge-sharing performances and involvements.

Age Range
Members' ages ranged from 28 to 55 years, with a middle age of about 40 years. This range captures early-career specialists, mid-career, and senior librarians, present a comprehensive range of understandings reflecting different phases of specialized progress and institutional assignation.

Academic Qualifications
- Master's degree in Library and Information Science: 8 participants (53.3%)
- MPhil in Library and Information Science: 5 participants (33.3%)
- PhD in Library and Information Science: 2 participants (13.3%)

The variety in academic experiences designates fluctuating levels of theoretic knowledge and research experience, which may encouragement attitudes toward proper knowledge-sharing practices.

Professional Experience
- Less than 5 years: 3 participants (20%)
- Between 5 and 10 years: 6 participants (40%)
- More than 10 years: 6 participants (40%)

This distribution highpoints the presence of both comparatively new librarians and those with considerable knowledge, empowering an sympathetic of how knowledge-sharing philosophy may vary with vocation maturity.

Institutional Type
- Government-funded research institutions: 9 participants (60%)
- Private research centers: 4 participants (26.7%)
- Internationally affiliated research organizations: 2 participants (13.3%)

The presence of different categories of research institutes permits for the inspection of how administrative structures and strategies might impact knowledge-sharing principles.

This demographic variety was indispensable for capturing a extensive range of perceptions, certifying that the results imitate not only individual approaches but also the influence of recognized surroundings on knowledge-sharing practices.

Overview of Data Analysis Process
The qualitative records from the discussions experienced a rigorous thematic examination process, intended to methodically identify, examine, and report designs within the data. This method is well suitable to phenomenological studies as it helps expose the core of participants' existed involvements concerning knowledge sharing.

- **Familiarization:** The first phase involved recurrently reading the interview transcriptions to advance a deep thoughtful of the data. Submerging in the descriptions facilitated the researcher become familiarly acquainted with the nuances and background facts shared by participants.
- **Initial Coding:** Throughout this stage, important statements, phrases, and terminologies applicable to knowledge sharing were categorized with evocative codes. Coding was lead manually and cross-checked to certify constancy and dependability.
- **Theme Development:** Associated codes were gathered into wider thematic groups. This grouping helped disclose overarching thoughts that associated various participant involvements and insights.
- **Theme Refinement:** Themes were prudently studied to make sure that each had interior consistency and clear limits, preventing intersection and sustaining focus. Indefinite or weak themes were reviewed or merged with stronger ones.
- **Final Structuring:** The concluded themes were planned into meaningful collections associated with the research purposes, which involved sympathetic insights, practices, enablers, obstacles, management roles, and enhancement policies in knowledge sharing.

  This planned and iterative process ensured the reliability and dependability of the results by providing a transparent trail from raw data to interpreted outcomes.

Major Themes and Findings
Perceptions of Knowledge-Sharing Culture
Participants usually professed the knowledge-sharing culture within their institutes as helpful yet unpredictable in practice. Many defined their work setting as encouraging teamwork and discussion of ideas, though definite assignation varied knowingly across departments and specific associations.

- Maximum participants noted that proper strategies encouraging teamwork were in place, but the achievement of these strategies mainly depended on how they were instigated at the local level.
- Leadership style was a essential influence; organizations where executives actively adopted a sharing culture saw higher involvement and incentive.
- Senior librarians frequently attended as mentors, enabling knowledge flow by managerial junior staff and demonstrating sharing performances.
- Newer or less skilled librarians inclined to rely on peer networks and familiar networks rather than formal organizations, emphasizing the social measurement of knowledge conversation.

Overall, though the recognized culture theoretically maintained knowledge sharing, applied familiarities exposed variability prejudiced by personal undercurrents and leadership assignation.

Knowledge-Sharing Practices
Participants acknowledged some collective knowledge-sharing practices regularly working in their workplaces:

- Commonly programmed staff meetings were used as stages to deliberate current growths, tasks, and chances associated to library facilities and research provision.
- Internal mailing lists and WhatsApp groups attended as rapid statement tools, enabling fast updates and informal interactions amongst colleagues.
- Informal peer discussions appeared as a vital practice, where librarians required guidance, shared familiarities, and cooperated on problem-solving outdoor formal conferences.
- Sharing of discussion resources, training resources, and research outcomes often occurred through cloud-based folders or collective efforts, permitting asynchronous access.

Although these active performs, contributors accredited a important gap: formal certification and recognized sources intended to preserve administrative knowledge were underutilized. This partial the long-term availability and sustainability of shared knowledge, levitation concerns about knowledge loss when staff turnover transpired.

Enablers of Knowledge Sharing
Some main influences were acknowledged as architects that fortified knowledge conversation within research libraries:

- A collective work setting considered by openness and shared reverence shaped groundwork where individuals felt safe to share thoughts without fear of decision.
- Supportive management was constantly highlighted as indispensable. Leaders who demonstrated open communication and enthusiastically contributed in sharing activities stimulated staff to involve more freely.
- Access to digital tools such as official intranets, cloud storing, and communication platforms authorized librarians to share knowledge professionally, overpowering geographical and time obstructions.

- Professional growth programs, containing workshops and exercise meetings, not only improved individual services but also served as openings for knowledge conversation and interacting.

Participants emphasized that once these enablers were present and working efficiently, they meaningfully improved knowledge-sharing involvement and enhanced institutional knowledge ability.

Barriers to Knowledge Sharing

- Despite the usually positive approaches towards knowledge sharing, members acknowledged more than a few determined complications that delayed its efficiency:
- Substantial capacities and time restraints were commonly stated, as librarians struggled to balance their essential tasks with further activities associated to sharing knowledge.
- An absence of prescribed acknowledgment or inducements for knowledge-sharing offerings led to reduced incentive, particularly when such efforts were not recognized in performance assessments or profession development.
- Hierarchical administrative structures occasionally limited open interchange, with subordinate staff feeling unwilling to share thoughts or feedback freely in the attendance of senior associates.
- In some organizations, inadequate practical infrastructure, such as defective internet connectivity or out-of-date software, imperfect the capability to use digital tools for knowledge involvement.

These obstacles underline the difficulty of development an in effect knowledge-sharing philosophy and propose the requirement for targeted involvements.

Role of Leadership and Policy

Leadership commitment occurred as a conclusive influence determining the knowledge-sharing environs:

- Institutes with active leaders who demonstrated cooperative comportment inclined to advance more vibrant and continued sharing values. These leaders not only invigorated contribution but also allotted resources and shaped guidelines that maintained knowledge conversation.
- Conversely, where leadership was unreceptive or unresponsive, knowledge sharing persisted familiar, fragmented, and partial to insignificant peer groups rather than flattering entrenched administrative practice.
- Clear and imposed guidelines concerning knowledge organization providing structure and responsibility, certifying that knowledge-sharing actions were ordered and associated with institutional objectives.

This result highpoints the critical part that management and strategy play in renovating knowledge sharing from an familiar repetition into a planned organizational advantage.

Strategies to Enhance Knowledge Sharing

Participants suggested specific useful policies to support knowledge-sharing culture and practices inside their institutes:

- Introducing formal mentorship platforms could enable knowledge assignment between knowledgeable and less-experienced librarians, development specialized development and institutional reminiscence.
- Establishing central digital information sources would address the present underutilization of documentation structures, ensuring that valued information is conserved and effortlessly available.
- Identifying and satisfying knowledge-sharing contributions through formal inducements, rewards, or performance evaluations would encourage staff to actively contribute.
- Organizing systematic cross-departmental workshops and cooperative proceedings would encourage networking, knowledge conversation, and breaking down of silos between teams.

These recommendations imitate a shared need to move towards a more organized and supportable knowledge-sharing environment.

Key Findings
The fundamental outcomes of this study can be abridged as follows:
- **Cultural Dimensions:** There exists a foundational culture considered by expectation, respect, and shared sustenance amongst librarians, which is favorable to knowledge sharing. Though, this culture is uneven through different organizations, formed by administrative environment and management.
- **Dominant Practices:** Librarians employment a combination of formal mechanisms, such as programmed meetings and digital platforms, together with informal peer interactions. Technology plays a essential part in empowering communication and resource allocation.
- **Key Enablers:** Leadership provision, clear official strategies, and formal acknowledgment mechanisms were recognized as important drivers that improve knowledge-sharing performances and involvement.
- **Persistent Barriers:** Challenges including high capacities, hierarchical statement outlines, and the nonexistence of perceptible inducements limit the efficiency and constancy of knowledge-sharing efforts.
- **Improvement Strategies:** Establishment institutional actions like mentorship, central digital sources, reward methods, and cooperative workshops are vital to stand-in a robust and supportable knowledge-sharing principles.

These judgments cooperatively highlight the position of a holistic methodology integrating culture, management, skill, and strategy to improve knowledge sharing amongst librarians in research organizations.

Conclusion and Recommendations
Conclusion
Results from this study show that librarians' knowledge sharing in research institution is more than just a methodological implementation; it's a publically determined method prejudiced by conviction, respect, and a shared professional objective. A more nuanced understanding of the ways in which particular history, individual standards, and interpersonal subtleties influence the tendency and ability for information sharing was made conceivable by the phenomenological

technique.

The outcomes presented that librarians use a mix of authorized and familiar approaches, comprising digital sources and organised training programmes as well as further approaches like peer mentorship and unpremeditated conversations. When it comes to addressing complications and encouraging professional progression, informal acquaintances are often more effective than formal processes, which offer structure. Significant organizers were cultures that were not too inexpensive or too siloed, and vital inhibitors were the absence of supportive leadership and the disappointment to acknowledge activities.

In the end, the study originates that guidelines and technology tools aren't sufficient to foster a robust culture of information involvement. Librarians need to feel valued, linked, and authorized to work together for this to come about. Professional's improvement from such a background, but the institute as a whole is improved talented to generate, store, and share information for the advantage of both study and culture.

Recommendations
Here are selected recommendations resulting from the outcomes:

Strengthen Trust and Professional Relationships
- Coordinate initiatives that bring together different departments and foster teamwork.
- Promote programmers that link seasoned librarians with those who are just starting out in the field.

Develop Supportive Leadership Practices
- Instruct library directors to encourage transparency and teamwork.
- When evaluating employees' performance, be sure to note and compensate those who have helped spread the word.

Enhance institutional policies
- Knowledge-sharing initiatives should be facilitated by establishing transparent standards and procedures.
- Set aside specific time for group projects and learning from one another.

Leverage Technology Effectively
- Put money into digital platforms that are easy for people to use for communicating and exchanging documents.
- Offer consistent education on new resources for teamwork.

Foster a Continuous Learning Culture
- Hold information exchange forums and workshops for professional growth on a regular basis.
- Promote attendance at conferences on a national and worldwide scale.

References

Aharony, N. (2011). Knowledge sharing attitudes in organizations. Library & Information Science Research, 33(4), 234–241.

AI-mediated knowledge-sharing platforms in academic libraries: A review. (2024). Library Hi Tech, 42(2), 260–277.

Akparobore, D. K. (2013). Knowledge sharing among librarians in university libraries in Nigeria. Information and Knowledge Management, 5(2), 31–35.

Anari, F., Asemi, A., Asemi, A., & Abu Bakar, M. (2013). Social interactive media tools and knowledge sharing: A case study. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1309.1825

Anasi, S. N. I., Akpan, I. J., & Adedokun, T. (2014). ICTs and knowledge sharing among academic librarians in Southwest Nigeria: Implications for professional development. Library Review, 63(4–5), 213–241.

Applying interpretive phenomenological analysis to library and information science research. (2020). Library & Information Science Research, 42(3), 101–110.

Ardichvili, A., Page, V., & Wentling, T. (2003). Motivation and barriers to participation in virtual knowledge-sharing communities of practice. Journal of Knowledge Management, 7(1), 64–77.

Awodoyin, A., Osisanwo, T., Adetoro, G., & Adeyemo, A. (2016). Knowledge sharing behaviour among librarians in academic libraries, Nigeria. Journal of Balkan Libraries Union, 4(1), 12–19.

Barriers to knowledge sharing among health sciences librarians in Africa. (2019). Health Information & Libraries Journal, 36(2), 154–162.

Cabrera, A., Collins, W. C., & Salgado, J. F. (2006). Determinants of individual engagement in knowledge sharing. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 17(2), 245–264.

Cataloguers' knowledge-sharing behaviors in Nigerian academic libraries. (2023). Journal of Advancements in Library Sciences, 14(2), 28–38.

Center for Subjectivity Research. (n.d.). In Wikipedia. Retrieved Month Day, Year, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Center_for_Subjectivity_Research

Cheng, M. Y., Ho, J. S. Y., & Lau, O. M. (2009). Knowledge sharing in academic institutions: A study of Multimedia University Malaysia. Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management, 7(3), 313–324.

Che-Rusuli, M. S., Tasmin, R., Takala, J., & Norazlin, H. (2012). Knowledge management process at Malaysian university libraries: A review. The International Journal of Social Sciences, 1(1), 1–16.

Collaboration and knowledge sharing in LIS education: Nigeria and Zimbabwe. (2020). Journal of Partnership in Education, Research, and Practice, 5(2), 45–58.

Dabengwa, I. M., Raju, J., & Matingwina, T. (2020). Applying interpretive phenomenological analysis to LIS research on blended librarianship: A case study. Library & Information Science Research. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-57189/v1

Dabengwa, I. M., Raju, J., & Matingwina, T. (2023). Rigor in phenomenological and phenomenography studies in LIS: A scoping review. Library & Information Science Research.

Deka, A., & Subaveerapandiyan, A. (2022). Understanding the knowledge-sharing behaviors of library professionals in South Asia. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.10091

Vol. 3 No. 8 (August) (2025)

Enakrire, R. T. (2025). Knowledge management practices among librarians: Tracing the missing link. The Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management, 23(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.34190/ejkm.23.1.3140

Factors hindering knowledge sharing practices among librarians in Nigerian federal university libraries (2018). Library Philosophy and Practice. Retrieved from Digital Commons.

Graoufalou, I., & Asderi, A. (2011). Intrinsic and extrinsic factors motivating workplace knowledge sharing among librarians. Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 44(2), 112–123.

Holistic knowledge management literature review: Bibliometric overview (1988–2021). (2022). Journal of Knowledge & Organization Studies. https://doi.org/10.1108/VJIKMS-08-2021-0169

Hosseini, E., & Hashempour, L. (2012). The status of librarians' knowledge sharing by the usage of Web 2.0 tools: A case study. International Journal of Applied Technologies in Library & Information Management, 4(1), 78–89.

Hwang, Y. (2011). Predicting attitudes toward knowledge sharing by email: An empirical study. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 27(12), 1161–1171.

Institutional repository adoption in Africa: Knowledge-sharing opportunities. (2021). The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 47(3), Article 102320.

Issues and prospects of knowledge sharing in academic libraries. (2017). International Journal of Applied Technologies in Library and Information Management, 4(1), 78–89.

Jain, P. (2012). An empirical study of KM in university libraries in SADC countries. In H. T. Hou (Ed.), New Research on Knowledge Management Applications (pp. 137–154). InTech.

KM maturity models in academic libraries: A comparative study. (2023). International Journal of Information Management, 65, 102593.

Knowledge management practices comparison: Nigeria vs South Africa. (2025). Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management, 23(2), 80–98.

Knowledge management skills for 21st-century library professionals in India: A study. (2022). arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.11266

Knowledge sharing practices and behaviors in university libraries. (2021). Library Philosophy and Practice. Retrieved from Digital Commons.

Knowledge sharing strategies for improved service provision in university libraries (2024). Library Management. https://doi.org/10.1080/13614533.2024.2333536

Knowledge-sharing culture and job performance in libraries: An empirical study. (2020). Library Management, 41(6/7), 295–308.

Lor, P. J. (2018). Democracy, information, and libraries in a time of post-truth discourse. Library Management, 39(5), 307–321.

Managing knowledge management, organizational culture and job outcomes. (2022). Journal of Academic Librarianship. https://doi.org/10.1177/0340035221103896

Manual, K., Beck, S., & Molloy, M. (2005). An ethnographic study of attitudes influencing faculty collaboration in library instruction. Reference Librarian, 43(89/90), 139–161.

Maponya, P. M. (2004). Knowledge management practices in academic libraries:

A case study of the University of Natal. (Unpublished master's thesis). University of Natal.

Mentorship models for knowledge sharing in libraries: A conceptual review. (2023). Library Quarterly, 93(1), 45–67.

Nigerian Library Association. (n.d.). In Wikipedia. Retrieved Month Day, Year, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nigerian_Library_Association

Ntui, A. I. (2025). Developing strategies for effective knowledge management in university libraries in Nigeria. African Journal of Library, Archives and Information Science.

Nwogo Ezeani, C. (2019). Using social media for dynamic library service delivery: The Nigeria experience. Library Philosophy and Practice.

Okonedo, S., & Popoola, S. O. (2012). Effect of self-concept, knowledge sharing, and utilization on research productivity among librarians. Library Philosophy and Practice.

Olayemi, O. M., & Olayemi, K. J. (2021). Knowledge sharing practices among African health sciences librarians. Journal of the Medical Library Association, 109(4), 624–630. https://doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2021.1183

Onifade, F. N. (2015). Knowledge sharing among librarians in federal university libraries in Nigeria. Information and Knowledge Management, 5(3), 91–97.

Parirokh, M., Daneshgar, F., & Fattahi, R. (2008). Identifying knowledge-sharing requirements in academic libraries. Library Review, 57(2), 107–122.

Partnership among librarians: Reflection on observations and implications. (2020). Partnership: The Journal of Partnership in Education, Research, and Practice, 5(2), 23–32.

Perceptions of the role of research librarians: A phenomenological study. (2025). Journal of Academic Librarianship. https://doi.org/10.1177/03400352241310551

Phenomenological methods in qualitative LIS research: A review. (2020). Library & Information Science Research, 42(4), 101–111.

Phenomenology of perception in library roles. (2025). Journal of Academic Librarianship. https://doi.org/10.1177/03400352241310551

Research data management and knowledge sharing in South Asian academic libraries. (2022). arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.09039

Research data management and services in South Asian academic libraries. (2022). arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.09039

Riege, A. (2005). Three-dozen knowledge-sharing barriers managers must consider. Journal of Knowledge Management, 9(3), 18–35.

Salisbury, M. W. (2003). Putting theory into practice to build knowledge management systems. Journal of Knowledge Management, 7(2), 128–141.

Sirorei, E. C., & Fombad, M. C. (2019). Knowledge management processes at St Paul's University Library, Kenya. South African Journal of Information Management, 21, Article 4.

Tacit knowledge sharing among library colleagues: An emerging perspective. (2019). Emerald Emerging Markets Case Studies, 9(3), 382–390.

Technology-enabled knowledge sharing in federal university librarians: Nigeria. (2025). Library Review, 74(4), 678–694.

Tella, A. (2016). Knowledge-sharing intention among information professionals in Nigeria. Portals: Libraries and the Academy, 16(2), 225–245.

Trivedi, M. (2007). Knowledge management in health science libraries. Electronic Journal of Academic and Special Librarianship, 8(2), 1–15.

Trust and leadership: Mediators in knowledge sharing among academic librarians. (2022). Studies in Higher Education, 47(8), 1615–1630.

Ugocha, O. C., Igwe, K. N., & Ibenne, S. K. (2018). Knowledge sharing among librarians: A literature review. International Journal of Applied Technologies in Library and Information Management, 4(1), 78–89.

Ugwu, C. I., & Ekere, J. N. (2017). Knowledge management for improving services in federal university libraries in Nigeria. Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 49(3), 304–315.

Ugwu, C. I., Eze, M. E., & Idoko, N. A. (2012). Attitude of librarians towards knowledge sharing in university libraries in Nigeria. Proceedings of the Nigerian Library Association.

Using social media for dynamic library service delivery: The Nigeria experience. (2020). Library Philosophy and Practice.

Van den Hooff, B., & De Leeuw Van Weenen, F. (2004). Committed to share: Commitment and CMC use as antecedents of knowledge sharing. Knowledge and Process Management, 11(1), 13–24.

Van den Hooff, B., & Hendrix, L. (2010). Eagerness and willingness toward knowledge sharing. University of Amsterdam.

Web 2.0 tools in LIS: Trends and Barriers (2022). Journal of Information Science Theory and Practice, 10(4), 5–18.

World Phenomenology Institute. (n.d.). In Wikipedia. Retrieved Month Day, Year, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/World_Phenomenology_Institute

Yang, J. (2007). The impact of knowledge sharing on organizational learning and effectiveness. Journal of Knowledge Management, 11(2), 83–90.

Yang, J. (2008). Individual attitude and organizational knowledge sharing. Tourism Management, 29, 345–353.